SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	The state of the s		
KEITH WOODWORTH		NCO FINANCIA	L SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	f First Listed Defendant		
	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Es Kimmel & Silverman, I		LANDI	NVOLVED.		
30 E. Butler Pike	0.	Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002		-			
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State □			
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	202	of Business In A		
-		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	Y 🗆 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury □ 315 Airplane Product	e 🗇 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Person: ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	al G40 R.R. & Truck G 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	■ 480 Consumer Credit ■ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vaca		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Accommodations ☐ 530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	her 462 Naturalization Application		900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
	Employment	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice ☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
	- 440 Other CIVII Rights	. Actions		N N	
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 5 Multidistrict (specify) 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 7 Judg					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	d statutes unless diversity):	Ð	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: 7 Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
Explanation:					
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
05/08/2012			Constitution of the Consti		

Case 2:12-cv-02507-JCJ Document 1 Filed 05/08/12 Page 2 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

KEITH WOODW	ORTH	. :	CIVIL ACTION	
	v.	:		
NCO FINANCIAI	L SYSTEMS, INC.	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall comp filing the complaint side of this form.) designation, that de plaintiff and all other	olete a Case Management and serve a copy on all of In the event that a defendant shall, with its fir	at Track Designed Tra	ay Reduction Plan of this court, coungnation Form in all civil cases at the to (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the resonant agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the track to	ime of reverse ng said on the
SELECT ONE OI	THE FOLLOWING	CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	·
(a) Habeas Corpus	- Cases brought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
	 Cases requesting reviewices denying plaintiff S 		on of the Secretary of Health y Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Ca	ases required to be desig	nated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Case exposure to asb	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ersonal inju	y or property damage from	()
commonly refer	rred to as complex and the reverse side of this form	nat need spec	cks (a) through (d) that are ial or intense management by d explanation of special	. ()
(f) Standard Manag	gement – Cases that do n	ot fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	()
_05/08/2012 _Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law		Plaintiff, Keith Woodworth Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

Case 2:12-cv-02507-JCJ Document 1 Filed 05/08/12 Page 3 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.					
Address of Plaintiff: 3U417 Stevens Blvd., W110U9hby, 0H44094 Address of Defendant: 507 Prvdential Rd., Hursham PA 19044					
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation as	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No No				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	•				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	VTh.				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No Y uit pending or within one year previously terminated				
action in this court?					
	Yes□ NoX				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	\				
terminated action in this court?	Ycs□ No.				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?				
	Yes No				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please				
	specify)				
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability				
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. X All other Federal Question Cases 5 V.S.C. \$ 1692					
(Please specify)					
ARBITRATION CERT					
I. CMIG THOY KIMMEL, counsel of record do hereby certif					
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	(11126				
DATE: 05 08 2					
Attorney at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if then	e has been comphanice with F.R.C.F. 26.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.	925 803				
DATE: 05 08 12	57100				
Attorney-at-Lyw	Attorney I.D.#				
CIV. 609 (6/08)					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEITH WOODWORTH,)
Plaintiff)).
v.) Case No.:
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,)) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR) JURY TRIAL
Defendant)) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

KEITH WOODWORTH ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA")

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant is located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Willoughby, Ohio, 44094, at the time of the alleged harassment.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Also, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044.
- 9. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, consumer debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors and debt buyers using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 13. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 14. Plaintiff never incurred any debts in connection with a business or commercial activities and, therefore, the alleged debt, if truly an obligation owed by him, could have only arisen from a financial obligation for primarily personal, family or household purposes.

Case 2:12-cv-02507-JCJ Document 1 Filed 05/08/12 Page 6 of 9

- 15. Beginning in or about September 2011 and continuing through April 10, 2012, Defendant, by and though its employees, repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone in an attempt to collect on a debt.
- 16. During this period, Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, at least two (2) times a day, sometimes calling as frequently as four (4) times a day.
- 17. When contacting Plaintiff, Defendant used an auto-dialer, causing Plaintiff to receive numerous automated telephone messages.
- 18. In its automated telephone messages, Defendant identified itself as "NCO Financial Systems" and informed Plaintiff that it was "calling to collect a debt."
- 19. Plaintiff attempted to follow Defendant's prompts to speak with a live-person; however, Plaintiff was not able to speak with a live person, as Defendant's system did not allow Plaintiff, who had a rotary phone, to speak to a live person.
- 20. In other instances, Plaintiff waited on the line to speak with a live person; however, no live person answered the phone.
- 21. Finally, within five (5) days of Defendant's first communication with Plaintiff in September 2011, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff a written notification notifying him of his rights to dispute the debt and to request verification, as well as providing him with information about the alleged debt, including the amount of the debt and the name of the creditor.
- 22. To date, Plaintiff has never received the required written notice, or any mail, from Defendant.
- 23. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect this debt were harassing and abusive to Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

1

2

3

5

67

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

. . .

COUNT I

- 24. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - e. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it harassed Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt, by calling him multiple times a day, numerous days a week, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff.

COUNT II

- 25. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including contacting Plaintiff with a pre-recorded message that did not allow him to speak with a live person, despite his following Defendant's prompts to do so.

COUNT III

- 26. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing him with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

Case 2:12-cv-02507-JCJ Document 1 Filed 05/08/12 Page 9 of 9

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, KEITH WOODWORTH, respectfully prays for a judgment as 3 follows: 4 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); 5 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 6 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); 7 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 8 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and 9 d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 11 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, KEITH WOODWORTH, demands a jury trial 12 in this case. 13 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 14 DATED: 05/08/12 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. 15 By: 16 Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100 17 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike 18 Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 19 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 20 21 22 23 24 25